Trick (for number of soldiers): Take RREF as [M/b] look at the corresp system

is system inconsistent?

is 0 = a

for a # 0

implied? No sols

15 thure a free unrable?

16 thure a free unrable?

16 thure a free unrable?

18 thure a free unrable?

19 thure a free unrable?

Last time: RREF and Consequences...

-> briefly defined and gave examples of

|mear maps / linear functions | linear homomorphisms

Refresher on Functions.

Defn: A function $f: S \rightarrow T$ is a rule of assignment, i.e. a method of assigning to each element of set S a unique member of set T.

Set = collection of objects

object in the set = element = member

The domain of f:5 -> T is denoted dom(f) = 5. The codoman of f is cod(f) = T. Ex; Calculs 1 is all about functions of the firm F: R -> R. ex: $f(x) = x^2$ $L/dom(f) = \mathbb{R}$ and $cod(f) = \mathbb{R}$. e_{x} : $g(x)=x^{2}$ w/dom(g)=IR and $cod(g)=R_{go}$ Ex: L: R2 -> R' W/ L[3] = x+y. has domain R² and Godonain R. Non-Exi Food eaten today": People -> foods is not a function, even though it is a rule of assignment (non-unique outputs)... Non-Exi y=+11-x2 describes a circle in R2, but it is <u>NOT</u> a function because some input \times (e.g. \times :0) has two associated output values.

Defn: A linear map is a function $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ OL(x+y) = L(x) + L(y) OL(ax) = aL(x). NB: the definition from Last time is equivalent to this one (i.e. any map satisfying that condition satisfies the new one and vice versa).

Propi Suppose L: TR"-> R" is a fuction. The following are equivalent:

① for all \vec{x} , $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we have both $L(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = L(\vec{x}) + L(\vec{y}) \text{ and } L(\vec{a} \times \vec{x}) = aL(\vec{x}).$

(2) for all xig fR" and all af R inc have $L(\vec{x} + a\vec{y}) = L(\vec{x}) + aL(\vec{y}).$

Len: Linear maps in either sense always maps
the zero vector to the zero vector.

PS(Lem): Let L: Rn -> Rn be a function.

O Assume $L(\vec{x}+\vec{y}) = L(\vec{x}) + L(\vec{y})$ and $L(a\vec{x}) = aL(\vec{x})$ for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then L(o) = L(o) = o L(o) = o.

3 Assume $L(\bar{x} + a\bar{y}) = L(\bar{x}) + aL(\bar{y})$ for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hence $L(\vec{o}) = L(\vec{o} + (-i) \cdot \vec{o}) = L(\vec{o}) - 1L(\vec{o}) = \vec{o}$.

pf (of Proposition): Let L: R" -> IR" be a fache

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \Rightarrow \bigcirc : \text{ Assume } L(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = L(\vec{x}) + L(\vec{y}) \text{ and } atR. \\ L(\vec{x}) = aL(\vec{x}) \text{ for all } \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and all } atR. \\ \text{Thus } L(\vec{x} + a\vec{y}) = L(\vec{x} + (a\vec{y})) \\ = L(\vec{x}) + L(a\vec{y}) \\ = L(\vec{x}) + aL(\vec{y}) \\ \text{So } L \text{ satisfies } \text{ the second } \text{ condition as nell.} \\ \bigcirc \Rightarrow \bigcirc : \text{ Assume } L(\vec{x} + a\vec{y}) = L(\vec{x}) + aL(\vec{y}) \text{ for all } \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and all } a \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Now} \\ L(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = L(\vec{x} + 1 \cdot \vec{y}) = L(\vec{x}) + 1L(\vec{y}) = L(\vec{x}) + L(\vec{y}). \\ L(a\vec{x}) = L(\vec{o} + a\vec{x}) = L(\vec{o}) + aL(\vec{x}) \\ = \vec{o} + aL(\vec{x}) = aL(\vec{x}) \\ \text{So } L \text{ satisfies } \text{ the first condition as nell.} \\ \square \\ \text{So } L \text{ satisfies } \text{ the first condition as nell.} \\ \square \\ \text{Let } M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ I claim } L: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \text{Method by } L(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x} \text{ is a linear map.} \\ \text{Indeed, simposion } \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \text{ actR} \\ \text{Colored} \\$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + y_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 + y_1 + y_2 \\ x_2 + y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + y_1 + x_2 + y_2 \\ x_2 + y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

So he have
$$L(x+\bar{y})=L(x)+L(\bar{y})$$
 in this case.

$$L(\alpha \overline{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_1 \\ \alpha x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_1 + \alpha x_2 \\ \alpha x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha (x_1 + x_2) \\ \alpha x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha L(\overline{x})$$

Let M be an mxn matrix. Then M determines a linear map Ln: R" -> R" VIG LM(X) = MX.

- 1 has domain R2

$$L_{M}(\vec{x}) = M \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} + 2x_{2} + x_{3} \\ -x_{1} + x_{2} + 3x_{3} \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow 2x1$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ -x_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \times 1 \\ \times 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \times 3 \\ 3 \times 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

So in this example, LM takes each vector X to a linear combination of the columns of M...
This happens in General!

Propi If $M = [\vec{c}_1 | \vec{c}_2 | \cdots | \vec{c}_n]$ has columns $\vec{C}_1, \vec{c}_2, \cdots, \vec{c}_n$,

then the linear map $L_M : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ has formula $L_M \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \vec{c}_1 + x_2 \vec{c}_2 + \cdots + \vec{x}_n \vec{c}_n.$

In particular, every range-value of Lm is a linear combination of the columns of M.

Ex: Write the range values of Lm as a liver combination of vectors for matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note LM: IR3 -> IR4 as a finchin. Moreon

$$L_{M}\begin{bmatrix} \times_{1} \\ \times_{2} \\ \times_{3} \end{bmatrix} = \times_{1}\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \times_{2}\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + \times_{3}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence range $(L_n) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{3}\right] + \left[\frac{1}{3}\right] + \left[\frac{1}{3}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{1}{3}\right]$ NB: the range of function f: S-T is range (f) := {t: t=f(s) for some se S} 1.2. range (f) = {f(s): S ∈ dom(f)}. NB: I keep Saying "if L is determed by a metrik." Actually, every linear map is determined by a nation. Gerrof Coming Soon (but not too Soon ") Back to liver systems: If [M[b] B a linear system, then the solutions of the system satisfy Mix = b. i.e. LM(x) = Mx = b, so [M/6] has a Solution if and only if b & range (Ln). in other words, b is a linear combination of the columns of M. i.e. range elements of LM correspond to solvable linear systems with matrix of coefficients M.